UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
,	Jose Ramon Rodriguez-Garcia	Case Number: <u>11-6536M</u>	
present and v	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 vas represented by counsel. I conclude by he defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on November 1, 2011. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the L	Inited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the char	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law	dant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	f years imprisonment.	
at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	ant will flee. ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DNS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The of the United defendant to	defendant is committed to the custody of facility separate, to the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpos APPEALS	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
deliver a copy Court.	of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to iciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	TED this 2 nd day of November, 2	2011.	
		_	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge